## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Marine Polymer Technologies, Inc.

v.

Civil No. 06-cv-100-JD

HemCon, Inc.

## ORDER

Marine Polymer, Inc. moves in limine to preclude HemCon,
Inc. from arguing, stating, implying, or presenting any evidence
related to Marine Polymer's decision not to assert infringement
of certain claims of the '245 patent. In support, Marine Polymer
asserts that arguments about non-asserted claims would be
unfounded, prejudicial, and confusing. HemCon agrees that it
will not present evidence, statements, arguments, or implications
to the jury about Marine Polymer's decision not to assert
infringement of some of the claims in the '245 patent. HemCon
reserves the right to introduce that evidence "to the extent that
Marine Polymer opens the door for such introduction, or to the
extent that the Court otherwise deems such evidence, statements,
arguments, or implications to be admissible."

## Conclusion

Marine Polymer's motion in limine to preclude evidence that it did not assert infringement of certain claims of the '245

patent (document no. 197) is granted in accord with HemCon's agreement. No questions concerning this subject shall be asked without prior approval of the court.

SO ORDERED.

Joseph A. DiClerico, Jr.
United States District Judge

March 30, 2010

cc: Julie M. Baher, Esquire
Garet K. Galster, Esquire
Daniel R. Johnson, Esquire
Heather E. Krans, Esquire
Joseph A. Kromholz, Esquire
Lynda Q. Nguyen, Esquire
Brian M. Poissant, Esquire
Daniel D. Ryan, Esquire
Ognian V. Shentov, Esquire
Jonathan M. Shirley, Esquire
Daniel E. Will, Esquire
Leigh S. Willey, Esquire